arXiv:1401.6080v2 [math.AP] 6 Jun 2014

STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON IRRATIONAL TORI IN TWO AND THREE DIMENSIONS

NILS STRUNK

Abstract. In this paper we prove new multilinear Strichartz estimates, which are obtained by using techniques of Bourgain. These estimates lead to new critical well-posedness results for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on irrational tori in two and three dimensions with small initial data. In three dimensions, this includes the energy critical case. This extends recent work of Guo–Oh–Wang.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to obtain new critical well-posedness results for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) posed on two-dimensional and three-dimensional irrational tori. For $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the following Cauchy problem of the NLS

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \Delta u &= \pm|u|^{2k}u \\ u|_{t=0} &= \phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d_\alpha), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where we follow the notation of [3] and denote the flat irrational torus by

$$\mathbb{T}^d_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \mathbb{R}/(\alpha_j \mathbb{T}), \quad \frac{1}{C} < \alpha_j < C,\ j = 1, \dots, d. \tag{2}$$

By a change of spatial variables, (1) is equivalent to the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the rational torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \Delta u &= \pm|u|^{2k}u \\ u|_{t=0} &= \phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d), \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where the Laplace operator Δ is defined via

$$\widehat{\Delta f}(n) = -4\pi^2 Q(n)\widehat{f}(n),$$

with $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $Q(n) = \alpha_1 n_1^2 + \ldots + \alpha_d n_d^2$. In the present paper, we study (3).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q55; Secondary 35B33.

The author was supported by the German Research Foundation, Collaborative Research Center 701.

The (scaling-)critical Sobolev index is given by

$$s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{k}. \tag{4}$$

For strong solutions $u\colon (-T,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ one easily verifies that the energy is conserved, i.e.

$$E\big(u(t)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2\,dx \pm \frac{1}{2k+2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(t,x)|^{2k+2}\,dx = E(\phi), \tag{5}$$

and so is the L^2-mass, i.e.

$$M\big(u(t)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(t,x)|^2\,dx = M(\phi). \tag{6}$$

Hence, the problem with $k=2$ in dimension $d=3$ is called energy-critical.

Several authors studied critical well-posedness for NLS on flat rational tori [5, 6, 8, 7, 3]. However, to our knowledge the first critical well-posedness results for the NLS on irrational tori have been recently obtained by Guo–Oh–Wang [3, Theorem 1.7]. They proved critical well-posedness for small data in the cases

$$\text{(i) } d=2\colon\ k\geq 6, \qquad \text{(ii) } d=3\colon\ k\geq 3, \qquad \text{(iii) } d\geq 4\colon\ k\geq 2.$$

Furthermore, they considered the energy critical case $d=3$ and $k=2$ on *partially* irrational tori, where two periods are the same [3, Appendix B]. In the present paper we are going to extend the known results in two and three dimensions to

$$\text{(i) } d=2\colon\ k\geq 3, \qquad\qquad \text{(ii) } d=3\colon\ k=2. \tag{7}$$

We use an approach similar to Bourgain's linear Strichartz estimate for three-dimensional irrational tori [2]. Guo–Oh–Wang applied this idea to obtain well-posedness in several dimensions [3]. However, in contrast to [3], we use mixed $L^p((-T,T),L^q(\mathbb{T}^d))$ spaces to improve the trilinear Strichartz estimate [3, Proposition 5.7], leading to the corresponding result in the energy critical case $d=3$ and $k=2$. In addition to that, we use a refined trilinear Strichartz estimate in two dimensions (Lemma 3.4) to treat the two dimensional case.

In this paper, we will focus on the multilinear Strichartz estimates in Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.1. These propositions serve as a replacement for Proposition 5.7 in [3], which implies critical well-posedness results by standard arguments, cf. [3, Section 5], [5, Section 4], and the references therein: Define appropriate iteration spaces that go back to Herr–Tataru–Tzvetkov [5, Definition 2.6–2.7], in which

one may control the Duhamel term, cf. [5, Proposition 4.7] and [3, Proposition 5.6]. Finally, a fixed-point argument proves local well-posedness [5, Proof of Theorem 1.1]. Global well-posedness for small data follows essentially from the conservation laws (5), (6), see e.g. [5, Proof of Theorem 1.2]. Hence our results lead to:

Theorem 1.1. *Let s_c be defined by* (4) *and let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy* (7). *Then, for all $s \geq s_c$ the initial value problem* (1) *is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d_\alpha)$, and globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d_\alpha)$, if the initial value is small in $H^{s_c}(\mathbb{T}^d_\alpha)$.*

A more precise formulation of the theorem may be found for instance in [5, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce some basic notation that will be used later on. The two and three dimensional cases are considered in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. The paper is not self-contained. We rely on results from [1, 2, 3, 4].

2. Notation

The following notations are quite standard, see e.g. [5]. We will write $A \lesssim B$, if there exists a harmless constant $c > 0$ such that $A \leq cB$. Define the spatial Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{f}(n) := \int_{[0,1]^d} e^{-2\pi i x\cdot n} f(x)\, dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

We fix a non-negative, even function $\psi \in C_0^\infty((-2,2))$ with $\psi(s) = 1$ for $|s| \leq 1$ to define a partition of unity: for a dyadic number $N \geq 1$, we set

$$\psi_N(\xi) := \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{N}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{2|\xi|}{N}\right) \quad \text{for } N \geq 2, \quad \psi_1(\xi) := \psi(|\xi|). \tag{8}$$

We also define the frequency localization operators $P_N \colon L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as the Fourier multiplier with symbol ψ_N. Moreover, we define $P_{\leq N} := \sum_{1\leq M\leq N} P_M$.

More generally, given a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ to be the Fourier multiplier operator with symbol $\chi_{\mathcal{S}}$, where $\chi_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the characteristic function of $\mathcal{S}$.

For $N, M \geq 1$ we define the collection of rectangular sets

$$\mathscr{R}_{N,M} := \big\{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d : \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\, O \text{ orthogonal } d\times d\text{-Matrix s.t.} \\ O\mathcal{C} + z \subseteq [-N,N]^{d-1} \times [-M,M]\big\}.$$

Furthermore, we set $\mathscr{C}_N := \mathscr{R}_{N,N}$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d) = (1-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle n\rangle^{2s} |\widehat{f}(n)|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2, \quad \text{where } \langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We denote the linear Schrödinger evolution by

$$(e^{it\Delta} f)(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i(n\cdot x + Q(n)t)}.$$

3. The two dimensional case

The following lemma may be seen as a variant of the Hausdorff–Young inequality and was used by several authors, e.g. [2, 3]. This lemma is one of our most important ingredients, hence, we are going to prove it.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$. There exists a compact interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that for all bounded $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$, $f\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{S}_k$ defined by*

$$\mathfrak{S}_k := \big\{ n \in \mathcal{S} : |f(n) - k| \leq r \big\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the following estimate holds true

$$\|\#\mathfrak{S}_k\|_{\ell_k^p} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{n\in\mathcal{S}} e^{2\pi i f(n)t} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(I)}.$$

Proof. The proof may be found in [2, (1.1.8')–(1.1.9)]. However, we are going to spell out some details. Let $\eta\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, compactly supported function with $\widehat{\eta}(\tau) \geq 0$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\widehat{\eta}(\tau) \geq 1$ for all $\tau \in [-r, r]$, e.g. for $c > 0$ large enough

$$\eta(t) = c\, \chi_{[-r,r]} * \chi_{[-r,r]}(t),$$

with Fourier transform $\widehat{\eta}(\tau) = c\big(\frac{\sin(2\pi r\tau)}{\tau}\big)^2$. Define $\psi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathcal{S}} e^{2\pi i f(n)t} \eta(t),$$

and set $I := \operatorname{supp}\psi$. Then, the Hausdorff–Young inequality implies

$$\|\#\mathfrak{S}_k\|_{\ell_k^p} \leq \left\| \sum_{n\in\mathcal{S}} \widehat{\eta}\big(k - f(n)\big) \right\|_{\ell_k^p} = \big\|\widehat{\psi}(k)\big\|_{\ell_k^p} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{n\in\mathcal{S}} e^{2\pi i f(n)t} \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(I)}.$$

□

In several applications it turned out to be beneficial to use almost orthogonality in time. This was first observed by Herr–Tataru–Tzvetkov [5, Proof of Proposition 3.5] for the rational torus $\mathbb{T}^3$, and later also applied for Zoll manifolds such as $\mathbb{S}^3$ [4, Proof of Proposition 3.6]. The next lemma shows what this means in our setting.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\sigma > 0$ and $\tau_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval. Furthermore, let $\tau_1 \supset \overline{\tau_0}$ be an open interval. Then, for all $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2k+1} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and dyadic numbers $N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{2k+1} \geq 1$ there exist rectangles $\mathcal{R}_\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{N_2,M}$, where $M = \max\{\frac{N_2^2}{N_1}, 1\}$, such that $P_{N_1} = \sum_\ell P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} P_{N_1}$ and*

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|^2_{L^2(\tau_0\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \sum_\ell \Big\| P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|^2_{L^2(\tau_1\times\mathbb{T}^d)} + N_2^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Proof. Thanks to spatial orthogonality, we may replace $P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1$ by $P_{\mathcal{C}} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1$, where $\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}_{N_2}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $N_1 \gg N_2$. As in the proof of [5, Proposition 3.5], we define the following partition: Let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be the center of $\mathcal{C}$. We define almost disjoint strips of width $M = \max\{\frac{N_2^2}{N_1}, 1\}$, which are orthogonal to ξ_0:

$$\mathcal{R}_\ell := \big\{ \xi \in \mathcal{C} : \xi\cdot\xi_0 \in \big[|\xi_0|M\ell, |\xi_0|M(\ell+1)\big)\big\} \in \mathscr{R}_{N_2,M}, \quad \mathbb{N} \ni \ell \approx \frac{N_1}{M}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_\ell \mathcal{R}_\ell$, we have $P_{\mathcal{C}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 = \sum_\ell P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1$.

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\tau_1)$ be a cutoff function satisfying $\eta(t) = 1$ for all $t \in \tau_0$. Obviously,

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|^2_{L^2(\tau_0\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \Big\| \eta(t) \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 := \sum_{\ell\approx N_1/M} \Big\| P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|^2_{L^2(\tau_1\times\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and

$$I_2 := \sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell'\approx N_1/M:\\ |\ell-\ell'|\gg 1}} \Big\langle \eta(t)^2 P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j, P_{\mathcal{R}_{\ell'}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{2k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

We have to show that $I_2 \lesssim N_2^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}$. Interpreting the integration with respect to t as Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$ and taking the

absolute value, we get

$$|I_2| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell' \approx N_1/M: \\ |\ell-\ell'|\gg 1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathcal{R}_\ell,\, n_1' \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell'}, \\ n_j, n_j' \in \mathcal{B}_{N_j},\, j=2\ldots 2k+1}} \big|\widehat{\eta^2}\big| \bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{2k+1} Q(n_j') - Q(n_j) \bigg) \times \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \big|\widehat{\phi}_j(n_j)\big| \big|\overline{\widehat{\phi}_j(n_j')}\big|,$$

where $\mathcal{B}_N := \operatorname{supp} \psi_N$ with ψ_N defined in (8). Similar to the proof of [5, Proposition 3.5] we get

$$\bigg| \sum_{j=1}^{2k+1} Q(n_j') - Q(n_j) \bigg| = M^2 |\ell - \ell'| (\ell + \ell') + \mathcal{O}(M^2 \ell) + \mathcal{O}(N_2^2) \gtrsim N_2^2 \langle \ell - \ell' \rangle,$$

since $\ell, \ell' \approx \frac{N_1}{M}$ and $|\ell - \ell'| \gg 1$. Thus, for any $\beta > 0$, we may estimate

$$\big|\widehat{\eta^2}\big| \bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{2k+1} Q(n_j') - Q(n_j) \bigg) \lesssim_\beta N_2^{-2\beta} \langle \ell - \ell' \rangle^{-\beta}.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz with respect to n_j, n_j', $j = 1, \ldots, 2k+1$, yields

$$|I_2| \lesssim N_2^{-\sigma} \sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell' \approx N_1/M: \\ |\ell-\ell'|\gg 1}} \langle \ell - \ell' \rangle^{-\beta} \|P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} \phi_j\|_{L^2} \|P_{\mathcal{R}_{\ell'}} \phi_j\|_{L^2} \prod_{j=2}^{2k+1} \|P_{N_j} \phi_j\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where $\sigma = 2\beta - (2k+1)d$ and $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Finally, Schur's lemma implies

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell' \approx N_1/M: \\ |\ell-\ell'|\gg 1}} \langle \ell - \ell' \rangle^{-\beta} \|P_{\mathcal{R}_\ell} \phi_j\|_{L^2} \|P_{\mathcal{R}_{\ell'}} \phi_j\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P_{N_1} \phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2,$$

provided $\beta > 1$. □

In the following let $\tau_0 \subseteq [0,1]$ be any time interval and $k \geq 3$. We are going to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k+1} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and dyadic numbers $N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k+1} \geq 1$ the following estimate holds true*

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta} \phi_j \right\|_{L^2(\tau_0 \times \mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim \left(\frac{N_{k+1}}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2} \right)^{\delta} \|P_{N_1}\phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} N_j^{s_c} \|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Before we start proving Proposition 3.3, we turn to the following trilinear Strichartz estimate, which improves [3, Lemma 5.9] for $d = 2$, using ideas of [2]. Combined with Lemma 3.2, this is the essence of the proof of Proposition 3.3. Note that the implicit constants depend on C in (2) and the local time interval τ_0.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $2 < p \leq 4$. Then, for all $N, M \geq 1$ with $N \geq M$, $\mathcal{C}_1 \in \mathscr{C}_N$, $\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3 \in \mathscr{C}_M$, and $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ we have*

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^{3} P_{\mathcal{C}_j} e^{it\Delta} \phi_j \right\|_{L^p(\tau_0, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))} \lesssim M^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|P_{\mathcal{C}_j}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Proof. This proof is a trilinear variant of the poof of [2, Proposition 1.1]. Hence, we omit some details and refer the reader also to e.g. [3, Proof of Proposition 2.2]. We will write $L_t^p L_x^q := L^p(\tau_0, L^q(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and $L_t^p := L^p(\tau_0)$ for brevity.

The left hand side may be estimated by

$$\left[\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left\| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{C}_2, \\ m \in \mathcal{C}_3}} \widehat{\phi}_1(a-n-m) \widehat{\phi}_2(n) \widehat{\phi}_3(m) e^{2\pi i (Q(a-n-m)+Q(n)+Q(m))t} \right\|_{L_t^p}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

using Plancherel's identity with respect to x and Minkowski's inequality. Applying Hausdorff–Young (cf. [3, Lemma 2.1]) and setting $c_{j,n} := |\widehat{\phi_j}(n)|$ yields

$$\|\cdots\|_{L_t^p} \lesssim \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{|Q(a-n-m)+Q(n)+Q(m)-k| \leq \frac{1}{2}} c_{1,a-n-m} c_{2,n} c_{3,m} \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

One easily verifies that $|Q(a-n-m)+Q(n)+Q(m)-k| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ may be written as

$$|Q(3\widetilde{n} - 2a) + 3Q(\widetilde{m}) + 2Q(a) - 6k| \leq 3,$$

where $\widetilde{n} := n + m$ and $\widetilde{m} := n - m$. Hence,

$$\#\big\{(n,m) \in \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_3 : |Q(a-n-m) + Q(n) + Q(m) - k| \le \tfrac{1}{2}\big\} \lesssim \#\mathfrak{S}_\ell,$$

with

$$\mathfrak{S}_\ell := \big\{(\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 \times \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_3 : |Q(\widetilde{n}) + 3Q(\widetilde{m}) - \ell| \le 4\big\},$$

$\ell := \lfloor 6k - 2Q(a) \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$, and cubes $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_2, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_3 \in \mathscr{C}_{3M}$. This conclusion and two applications of Hölder's estimate yield (cf. [2, (1.1.5)–(1.1.7)])

$$\|\cdots\|_{L_t^p}^p \lesssim \bigg(\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} (\#\mathfrak{S}_\ell)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\bigg)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \bigg(\sum_{\substack{n\in\mathcal{C}_2,\\ m\in\mathcal{C}_3}} c_{1,a-n-m}^2 c_{2,n}^2 c_{3,m}^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

thus we arrive at

$$\bigg\|\prod_{j=1}^3 P_{\mathcal{C}_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j\bigg\|_{L^p(\tau_0,L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))} \lesssim \bigg(\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} (\#\mathfrak{S}_\ell)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\bigg)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{\mathcal{C}_j}\phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

The assumption $p \le 4$ ensures that $\frac{p}{p-2} \ge 2$ and hence, by Lemma 3.1 we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\bigg(\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} (\#\mathfrak{S}_\ell)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\bigg)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} &\lesssim \bigg\|\prod_{j=1}^2 \sum_{\Delta\widetilde{n}_j,\Delta\widetilde{m}_j\approx M} e^{2\pi i\alpha_j(\widetilde{n}_j^2+3\widetilde{m}_j^2)t}\bigg\|_{L_t^{\frac{p}{2}}(I)} \\ &\lesssim \bigg\|\sum_{\Delta n\approx M} e^{2\pi i n^2 t}\bigg\|_{L_t^{2p}(I)}^4 \lesssim M^{4(1-\frac{1}{p})}\end{aligned}$$

for some compact interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, provided $p > 2$. Here, we use the notation $\sum_{\Delta n\approx N} = \sum_{n=a}^{a+cN}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and harmless $c \in \mathbb{N}$. The last inequality goes back to Bourgain [1, Proposition 1.10 and Section 4]. However, we need a slight variation here, which may be found in [4, Lemma 3.1]. This implies the desired estimate. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $p > 6$.*

(i) *For every $N \ge 1$, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}_N$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ we have*

$$\|P_{\mathcal{C}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^p(\tau_0,L^6(\mathbb{T}^2))} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{2}{p}} \|P_{\mathcal{C}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

(ii) *Let $6 \le q < p$. Then, for all $N, M \ge 1$ with $N \ge M$, $\mathcal{R} \in \mathscr{R}_{N,M}$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ it holds that*

$$\|P_{\mathcal{R}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^p(\tau_0,L^q(\mathbb{T}^2))} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2}{p}} M^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{q}} \|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Proof. The first estimate is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.4, provided $p \le 12$. Bernstein's inequality implies the result for $p = \infty$:

$$\|P_{\mathcal{C}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^\infty(\tau_0\times\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim N \|P_{\mathcal{C}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

For $12 < p < \infty$, the desired estimate follows from Hölder's estimate and the estimates for $p = 12$ and $p = \infty$.

The second statement follows from (i), the estimate

$$\|P_{\mathcal{R}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^\infty(\tau_0\times\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \big(\#(\mathcal{R}\cap\mathbb{Z}^2)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim (NM)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

which may easily be obtained by applying Cauchy-Schwarz in Fourier space, and Hölder's inequality. The conclusion works as follows: Set $f(t,x) := P_{\mathcal{R}} e^{it\Delta}\phi(x)$, $\varepsilon = \frac{6p}{q} - 6 > 0$ and $\vartheta = \frac{6}{q} \leq 1$. Then,

$$\| |f|^\vartheta |f|^{1-\vartheta} \|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \leq \|f\|^\vartheta_{L_t^{6+\varepsilon} L_x^6} \|f\|^{1-\vartheta}_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2}{p}} M^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{q}} \|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Thanks to Lemma 3.2 it suffices to replace $P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1$ by $P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1$, where $\mathcal{R} \in \mathscr{R}_{N_2,M}$ with $M = \max\big\{\frac{N_2^2}{N_1}, 1\big\}$, provided we magnify the time interval to an open interval $\tau_1 \supset \overline{\tau_0}$.

Let $6 < p_1, q_1 < 8$ and $3 < p_2 \leq \frac{24}{5}$. Furthermore, let p_3 and q_2 be defined via the relations $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{k-2}{p_3}$ and $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{k-2}{q_2}$, respectively. By Hölder's estimate the following holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}} &\leq \|P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1\|_{L_t^{p_1} L_x^{q_1}} \\ &\quad \times \|P_{N_2} e^{it\Delta}\phi_2 P_{N_3} e^{it\Delta}\phi_3\|_{L_t^{p_2} L_x^3} \prod_{j=4}^{k+1} \|P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j\|_{L_t^{p_3} L_x^{q_2}},\end{aligned}$$

where $L_t^r L_x^s := L^r(\tau_1, L^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and $L^2_{t,x} := L_t^2 L_x^2$. Let $f_j := P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j$, $j = 2, 3$, then we treat the bilinear term as follows:

$$\|f_2 f_3\|^2_{L_t^{p_2} L_x^3} = \|f_2^2 f_3^2\|_{L_t^{\frac{p_2}{2}} L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \|f_2 f_3^2\|_{L_t^r L_x^2} \|f_2\|_{L_t^s L_x^6},$$

where $s > 6$ and $\frac{2}{p_2} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s}$. Note that $p_2 \leq \frac{24}{5}$ ensures that $r \leq 4$. By Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, we have for all $\eta > 0$

$$\|P_{N_2} e^{it\Delta}\phi_2 P_{N_3} e^{it\Delta}\phi_3\|_{L_t^{p_2} L_x^3} \leq N_2^{\frac{1}{6}+\eta} N_3^{\frac{7}{6}-\frac{2}{p_2}-\eta}. \tag{9}$$

Corollary 3.5, (9) and Sobolev's embedding imply

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}} &\lesssim \Big(\frac{M}{N_2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{q_1}} N_2^{\frac{7}{6}-\frac{2}{p_1}-\frac{2}{q_1}+\eta} N_3^{\frac{7}{6}-\frac{2}{p_2}-\eta} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=4}^{k+1} N_j^{1-\frac{2}{k-2}(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{q_1})} \|P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1}\phi_j\|_{L_x^2} \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|_{L_x^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $L^2_x := L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For all $0 < \nu_1, \nu_2 \ll 1$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $p_1, q_1 > 6$ sufficiently close to 6 as well as $p_2 > 3$ sufficiently close to 3 such that

$$\text{(i) } \Big(\frac{M}{N_2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{q_1}} = \Big(\frac{M}{N_2}\Big)^{\delta} \qquad \text{(ii) } N_2^{\frac{7}{6}-\frac{2}{p_1}-\frac{2}{q_1}+\eta} = N_2^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu_1+\eta}$$

$$\text{(iii) } N_3^{\frac{7}{6}-\frac{2}{p_2}-\eta} = N_3^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu_2-\eta} \qquad \text{(iv) } N_j^{1-\frac{2}{k-2}(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{q_1})} = N_j^{1-\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2}{k-2}},$$

where $j \in \{4, \dots, k+1\}$. Since $\frac{1}{2} < s_c < 1$ for $k \geq 3$, we may choose $0 < \nu_1, \nu_2, \eta \ll 1$ small enough to get

$$\Big\| P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1} e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \lesssim \Big(\frac{N_{k+1}}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2}\Big)^{\delta} \|P_{\mathcal{R}} P_{N_1}\phi_1\|_{L^2_x} \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} N_j^{s_c} \|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}.$$

□

4. The three dimensional case

Similar to the previous section, let $\tau_0 \subseteq [0,1]$ be any time interval.

Proposition 4.1. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and dyadic numbers $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq N_3 \geq 1$ the following estimate holds true:*

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{3} P_{N_j} e^{it\Delta}\phi_j \Big\|_{L^2(\tau_0 \times \mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim \left(\frac{N_3}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2}\right)^{\delta} N_2^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon} N_3^{\frac{5}{4}-\varepsilon} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

We postpone the proof and recall a linear Strichartz estimate, which is — up to almost orthogonality — the main ingredient for the trilinear Strichartz estimate in Proposition 4.1. This linear estimate goes back to Bourgain [2]. Again, note that the implicit constants depend on C in (2) and the local time interval τ_0.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p > \frac{16}{3}$.*

(i) *For every $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}_N$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ we have*

$$\|P_{\mathcal{C}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^p(\tau_0, L^4(\mathbb{T}^3))} \lesssim N^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{2}{p}} \|P_{\mathcal{C}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

(ii) *Let $4 \leq q < \frac{3p}{4}$. Then, for all $N, M \geq 1$ with $N \geq M$, $\mathcal{R} \in \mathscr{R}_{N,M}$ and all $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ it holds that*

$$\|P_{\mathcal{R}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^p(\tau_0, L^q(\mathbb{T}^3))} \lesssim N^{1-\frac{2}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} M^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{q}} \|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

Proof/Reference. The first inequality was proved by Bourgain [2, Proposition 1.1], see also [3, Lemma 5.9].

The second estimate follows from (i), the estimate

$$\|P_{\mathcal{R}} e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^\infty(\tau_0\times\mathbb{T}^3)} \le \big(\#(\mathcal{R}\cap\mathbb{Z}^3)\big)^{\frac12}\|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim NM^{\frac12}\|P_{\mathcal{R}}\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)},$$

and Hölder's estimate, see Corollary 3.5. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. From Lemma 3.2 we see that we may replace the projector P_{N_1} by $P_{\mathcal{R}}P_{N_1}$ with $\mathcal{R}\in\mathscr{R}_{N_2,M}$ and $M=\max\{\frac{N_2^2}{N_1},1\}$, provided we enlarge the time interval τ_0 to an open interval $\tau_1\supset\overline{\tau_0}$.

Let $p_1>\frac{16}{3}$ and $4<q_1<\frac{3p_1}{4}$. Furthermore, let p_2 and q_2 be defined via the relations $\frac12=\frac{2}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\frac12=\frac{2}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}$, respectively. Hölder's estimate yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\|P_{\mathcal{R}}P_{N_1}e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 P_{N_2}e^{it\Delta}\phi_2 P_{N_3}e^{it\Delta}\phi_3\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\&\qquad\le \|P_{\mathcal{R}}P_{N_1}e^{it\Delta}\phi_1\|_{L_t^{p_1}L_x^{q_1}}\|P_{N_2}e^{it\Delta}\phi_2\|_{L_t^{p_1}L_x^{q_1}}\|P_{N_3}e^{it\Delta}\phi_3\|_{L_t^{p_2}L_x^{q_2}},\end{aligned}$$

where $L_t^rL_x^s:=L^r(\tau_1,L^s(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and $L^2_{t,x}:=L^2_tL^2_x$. Applying Lemma 4.2 and Sobolev's embedding we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|P_{\mathcal{R}}P_{N_1}e^{it\Delta}\phi_1 P_{N_2}e^{it\Delta}\phi_2 P_{N_3}e^{it\Delta}\phi_3\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\&\lesssim M^{\frac12-\frac{2}{q_1}}N_2^{\frac52-\frac{4}{p_1}-\frac{4}{q_1}}N_3^{\frac{4}{p_1}+\frac{6}{q_1}-1}\|P_{\mathcal{R}}P_{N_1}\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}\prod_{j=2}^3\|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}\\&\lesssim\left(\frac{N_3}{N_1}+\frac{1}{N_2}\right)^{\frac12-\frac{2}{q_1}}N_2^{\frac72-\frac{4}{p_1}-\frac{8}{q_1}}N_3^{\frac{4}{p_1}+\frac{8}{q_1}-\frac32}\|P_{\mathcal{R}}P_{N_1}\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}\prod_{j=2}^3\|P_{N_j}\phi_j\|_{L^2_x},\end{aligned}$$

where $L^2_x:=L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$. The claim follows for p_1 sufficiently close to $\frac{16}{3}$ and q_1 sufficiently close to 4. □

Remark 1. Note that this proof can easily be modified to treat all $k\ge2$. However, since Guo–Oh–Wang already proved a similar statement for $k\ge3$, we omitted this to make the argument more transparent.

References

1. Jean Bourgain, *On $\Lambda(p)$-subsets of squares*, Israel J. Math. **67** (1989), no. 3, 291–311. MR 1029904 (91d:43018)
2. ———, *On Strichartz's inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on irrational tori*, Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 163, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007, pp. 1–20. MR 2331676 (2008j:35165)
3. Zihua Guo, Tadahiro Oh, and Yuzhao Wang, *Strichartz estimates for Schödinger equations on irrational tori*, ArXiv e-prints (2013), to appear Proc. London Math. Soc.

4. Sebastian Herr, *The quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on three-dimensional Zoll manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **135** (2013), no. 5, 1271–1290.
5. Sebastian Herr, Daniel Tataru, and Nikolay Tzvetkov, *Global well-posedness of the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with small initial data in* $H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$, Duke Math. J. **159** (2011), no. 2, 329–349. MR 2824485 (2012j:35392)
6. ———, *Strichartz estimates for partially periodic solutions to Schrödinger equations in* 4*d and applications*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **690** (2014), 65–78. MR 3200335
7. Yuzhao Wang, *Periodic cubic hyperbolic Schrödinger equation on* $\mathbb{T}^2$, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 3, 424–434. MR 3056710
8. ———, *Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in critical* $H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$ *spaces*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **45** (2013), no. 3, 1691–1703. MR 3061469

Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Postfach 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany
E-mail address: strunk@math.uni-bielefeld.de